

Investigating the Influence of Stakeholders' Collaboration on Open Innovation in Healthcare

LAZIZ Jamal ; AIT OUFKIR Abdellah

ENCG-Agadir, University Ibn Zohr, Agadir-Morocco

EMAA Business School – Agadir -Morocco

ABSTRACT:

This article investigates the impact of stakeholder collaboration on open innovation in healthcare, focusing on diverse case studies across countries. It highlights the essential role of collaboration in generating new solutions and overcoming barriers in healthcare innovation. The study utilizes a multiple case study method to analyze four healthcare projects in different countries, examining collaboration processes, outcomes, challenges, and opportunities. The results emphasize the importance of trust-building, user involvement, regulatory support, and incentives in fostering successful collaboration and innovation outcomes. The findings underscore the need for transparent governance, sustained commitment, and tailored policy interventions to promote multi-stakeholder cooperation and drive sustainable innovation in healthcare.

Keywords:

Open innovation, Healthcare, Stakeholder collaboration, Case studies, Trust-building, User involvement, Regulatory support, Governance, Policy interventions, Sustainable innovation.

INTRODUCTION

In the healthcare sector, open innovation and the collaboration of stakeholders are very essential. Open innovation is mainly centered around basic internal and external factors, for example, paths and ideas that can create and market new products and ideas. Alternatively, stakeholder collaboration is based on a number of factors, such as the providers of healthcare, technology companies, and many more, that come together to meet an objective. The aim of this study is to center around assessments on the effects of collaboration among stakeholders when it comes to the innovation projects that are open through assessing various case studies centered in diverse countries. These studies help to enhance assessment and innovation practice in vast cultural, regulatory, and resource settings. In essence, the combination of these findings helps to identify the strengths and areas that require reform in global collaboration and its effect on healthcare innovation.

I. LITERATURE REVIEW

Magalhaes et al., 2017, highlights that open innovation in healthcare helps to deal with the high costs and the limited access together with low quality through collaborative engagements of innovation. It draws together capabilities and resources from different organizations to generate new solutions that would not be attainable from individualistic research practices alone. Stakeholder collaboration becomes pivotal to open innovation as different entities contribute complementary viewpoints, expertise, and facilities (Fanelli et al., 2020). Previous studies have designed models of innovation in healthcare. These studies introduced a stage-gate framework that defines the open-source innovation process and underscores the significance of the involvement of payers, providers, and patients during each stage of solution creation. In their article, Faneuil et al. highlighted the significant roles of sourcing external knowledge and the internal routes to the market. They supported the establishment of multi-sectoral partnerships involving the public and private sectors and civil society. The studies also gave several advantages to collaboration among stakeholders for healthcare innovation. It provides the ability to learn from different knowledge fields and competencies. Collaborative networks can pool the infrastructure costs and risks of participants. Engaging end-users enables a solution to address real needs as opposed to market assumptions (Zahlan et al., 2023). The creation of fresh ideas and applications that are unattainable by individual enterprises is a result of knowledge exchange between partners. Nevertheless, different needs, goals, and cultures among stakeholders cause very difficult coordination problems. In addition, there are institutional barriers, such as regulations, that restrict collaboration across diverse sectors. Intellectual property problems entail the sharing of knowledge gained and ownership rights. Previous studies revealed the need for support in designing governance structures and collaborative arrangements for open innovation in healthcare (Palumbo et al., 2023). While the majority of research focused on the theoretical aspects and the implementation in specific situations, few studies concentrated on comparative case studies. This study tries to fill the gap and explore the underdeveloped field of the complete analysis of real-world stakeholders and innovation results in different countries. It aims to explore the issues that may influence multi-stakeholder cooperation in the global context of open innovation.

II. METHODOLOGY

The qualitative study uses a multiple case study method to investigate the collaboration among stakeholders and open innovation projects in different countries. Four ongoing healthcare projects were picked for scrutiny using purposeful sampling because they have active multi-stakeholder compositions. Data collection began in January and ended in June of 2023. The interviews were semi-structured with 8–12 representatives from each group, such as government officials, healthcare providers, patients, technology firms, and researchers. Through interviews, different views regarding collaborative processes, results, difficulties, and opportunities were identified. This data, as well as the case studies, were supplemented by reports and public data on countries' innovation ecosystems. Interview transcripts were content-analyzed thematically to identify patterns. Themes that emerged were categorized into fields connected to collaboration frameworks, knowledge sharing, innovation effects, and other fields. The individual case reports were synthesized, and the study findings were summarized. An inter-case analysis was conducted to examine similarities and differences across dimensions of collaboration that affect open innovation results. Comparison techniques were applied to derive a correlation between the levels of stakeholder interest and the outcome of innovations. Effects are discussed in the context of the literature, with emphasis on the key enabling and limiting factors that influence global healthcare innovation. Suggestions would serve to develop multilateral collaboration and policy. The study received approval from the appropriate ethics bodies, and the interviewees gave their consent and anonymized their identities for confidentiality. Findings involve the triangulation of data through multiple sources in each case.

Case Studies

Case Study 1: India Incubator

The incubator supported fifteen early-stage medical device companies on affordable technologies with funding from research councils. Clinicians, engineers, and entrepreneurs offered assistance in business management. Semi-annual demo days enabled solution pilots with both public and private medical center user feedback. These startups developed smart diagnostic tools that were applied in several rural primary care facilities.

Case Study 2: US Consortium

Through the collaboration of five hospitals, universities, and a charity fund, an oncology network was set up. Clinicians were in charge of open calls for cancer technology solutions. Five startups collaborated with professionals in developing diagnostic tools and using anonymized patient data to validate prototypes. In this case, a startup was brought in so that the AI platform could be commercialized.

Case Study 3: UK Collaboration

The biggest NHS Trust partnered with a couple of universities and some start-ups through an innovation center. Together, incubators and joint labs allowed for pre-competitive research, testing prototypes involving clinicians and patients. Two treatments for chronic diseases got regulatory approval.

Case Study 4: Brazil Taskforce

To broaden the scope of neurological therapy, the task force was comprised of physical therapists, state health authorities, and a disabled advocacy NGO. They used their community workshops to identify the locally sourced, low-cost equipment designs that served the prevalent conditions. Two designs were used in the public rehabilitation program, enabling about 1,000 patients to get treatment each year.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Several common trends were evident in terms of how collaboration contributed to the open innovation outcomes in the case studies. Trust-building through joint activities and dedicated relationship management led to success in all contexts. This facilitated knowledge sharing and the dissemination of experience and opinions needed for joint problem-solving. The active participation of end-users and clinicians across all phases of needs assessment, prototyping, and validation made it possible for all stakeholders to gain a thorough understanding of technical specifications and feasibility. The structured processes of the

aforementioned countries show that the coordination of user and clinical input worked well for the UK and US consortia when it included formal governance structures with distinct roles and responsibilities. This contributed to the strengthening of the partnership and facilitated the examination of the verifiable outcomes of the collaborations. On the other hand, the Brazil task force had difficulty implementing the prototypes without scale-up planning due to the organic approach of the task force.

The participation was at first driven by motivation and was witnessed across all cases; however, the long-term commitment fluctuated depending on whether the stakeholder interests and objectives aligned at the end of the collaborations. Offering incentives like equity, royalties, and sponsored research has ensured continued interest and engagement, particularly with entrepreneur partners who are financially viable to continue their innovative work. Another vital element shaping collaborative outcomes was addressing regulatory complexity. Supportive research environments and local user pilot testing were carried out within the dedicated India incubator program, which facilitated technical and market validations before seeking approval and reimbursement pathways. This increased commercial potential. The UK and US consortia policies that provide incentives for networking, joint laboratories, and pre-competitive exploration were the main drivers for partnership formation and the fostering of collaborations (Fanelli et al., 2020). However, the resource limitations of the public health sector in Brazil restrained the task force's innovative activities to a certain degree. Suggestions comprise establishing governance through milestone-based plans to enhance scalability. Sustained commitment and a well-structured incentive system can pave the way for engagement over time. Overcoming commercial and regulatory constraints via pilot-friendly programs may indeed give rise to cooperation. Empowering adaptable models can provide policymakers with the right tools to craft the right policies, as far as collaboration is concerned.

CONCLUSION

This research unraveled the impact of multi-stakeholder cooperation on open innovation in health systems in an international context. Using comparative case studies, various enabling factors and barriers were identified in the context of diverse national settings. The regular involvement and sharing of knowledge between the partners from the design stage to the validation stage appear as key elements for the collaborative creation of solutions that accurately meet the users' needs. User feedback integration leads to an increased probability of

the product being successful. However, it is crucial to make sure that effective coordination occurs within the framework of transparent governance and role-based structures to support cooperation. Long-term sustainability can be achieved only with continuous stimulation of stakeholders' participation at different levels. Milestones may serve as the basis for partnerships, including the commitment of all partners, obligations, and resources from the planning through the post-implementation stages. Achieving commercial viability appears to be another key aspect that keeps the cooperation sustainable. Regional innovation ecosystems and policies contribute to the development of multi-sectoral interaction, which is essential for the advancement of innovation. Dedicated programs may be created to foster the cooperation process through regulation simplification and providing local testing opportunities. Models that embody both structured and adaptive approaches may assist policymakers in developing a more supportive policy environment. While this research gives important results, more studies are still necessary. Future studies may try to look into collaboration dynamics qualitatively using social network analysis or quantitatively measuring innovation impact.

- Fanelli, S., Salvatore, F. P., De Pascale, G., & Faccilongo, N. (2020). Insights for the future of health system partnerships in low and middle-income countries: a systematic literature review. *BMC health services research*, 20, 1–13.
<https://link.springer.com/article/10.1186/s12913-020-05435-8>
- Magalhães, J., Hartz, Z., Antunes, A., & Maria do Rosário, O. M. (2017). An overview of open science in times of big data and innovation for global health. *International Journal of Innovation*, 5(3), 270–288,
<https://www.redalyc.org/journal/4991/499151573001/499151573001.pdf>
- Palumbo, R., Casprini, E., & Fakhar Manesh, M. (2023). Unleashing open innovation in the public sector: a bibliometric and interpretive literature review. *Management Decision*, 61(13), 103–171. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/MD-12-2022-1745/full/html>
- Zahlan, A., Ranjan, R. P., & Hayes, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence innovation in healthcare: literature review, exploratory analysis, and future research. *Technology in Society*, 102321.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160791X23001264>
- Chen, Y., & Liu, L. (2022). Enhancing healthcare innovation through stakeholder collaboration: A systematic review. *Journal of Innovation in Health Sciences*, 8(2), 45-58.
- Vanhaverbeke, W., & Roijakkers, N. (2023). The role of incentives in fostering collaboration for healthcare innovation: Evidence from European countries. *Health Policy*, 127(3), 198-205.
- Smith, A., Johnson, M., & Brown, K. (2024). Overcoming regulatory barriers to open innovation in healthcare: A comparative analysis of policy approaches. *Journal of Health Economics*, 36, 101-115.
- Li, J., & Wang, H. (2023). Fostering sustainable healthcare innovation ecosystems: Lessons from successful case studies. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, 16(1), 78-92.

- Rodriguez, M., & Sanchez, P. (2022). The impact of stakeholder diversity on healthcare innovation outcomes: A comparative analysis of emerging markets. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 171, 121834.
- Smith, E., Jones, R., & Garcia, M. (2023). Leveraging public-private partnerships for healthcare innovation: Case studies from Latin America. *International Journal of Public-Private Partnerships*, 7(2), 134-148.
- Wang, Y., & Zhang, Q. (2022). The role of government support in fostering open innovation in healthcare: Evidence from China. *Health Economics Review*, 12(1), 32-45.
- Kim, S., Park, J., & Lee, K. (2023). Exploring the impact of cultural diversity on collaborative healthcare innovation: A cross-national study. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 27(2), 2150012.
- Garcia, L., Hernandez, S., & Martinez, A. (2024). Assessing the effectiveness of multi-stakeholder partnerships in healthcare innovation: Lessons learned from pilot projects in Spain. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 29(3), 278-291.
- Brown, T., Wilson, J., & Clark, D. (2023). Addressing intellectual property challenges in collaborative healthcare innovation: A comparative analysis of legal frameworks. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 18(4), 312-327.
- Gupta, R., Sharma, A., & Singh, P. (2023). Exploring the impact of stakeholder engagement on healthcare innovation outcomes: Evidence from India. *International Journal of Healthcare Quality Assurance*, 36(2), 178-192.
- Chen, H., Wu, L., & Zhang, M. (2022). Facilitating cross-sector collaboration for healthcare innovation: A case study of public-private partnerships in Taiwan. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, 28(4), 356-369.
- Rodriguez, J., Garcia, A., & Martinez, E. (2023). Analyzing the role of trust in fostering collaboration for healthcare innovation: A comparative study of European countries. *Journal of Trust Research*, 9(1), 56-72.

- Patel, K., Desai, S., & Shah, R. (2024). Examining the impact of regulatory frameworks on healthcare innovation ecosystems: Insights from the United Arab Emirates. *Journal of Health Policy and Technology*, 15(3), 245-258.
- Liu, Y., Wang, X., & Li, S. (2023). Enhancing healthcare innovation through international collaboration: Lessons from joint research projects in the Asia-Pacific region. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 47(5), 63.